

Example from last time

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 3 & -5 & 1 & 5 \\ 1 & 4 & -7 & 3 & 2 \\ 1 & 5 & -9 & 5 & -9 \\ 0 & 3 & -6 & 2 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{\text{REF}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 3 & -5 & 1 & 5 \\ 0 & 1 & -2 & 2 & -7 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -5 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Thus  $\text{row space}(A) = \text{span} \{ (1, 3, -5, 1, 5), (0, 1, -2, 2, -7), (0, 0, 0, 1, -5) \}$

This method always works, because

Exercise (To hand in)

The non-zero rows of a matrix in REF are linearly independent.

Surprisingly, the same method (row operations) gives us a way to find a basis for the column space of  $A$ .

Lemma Suppose  $B \sim A$ . Then a set of columns in  $A$  is linearly independent if and only if the corresponding set of columns of  $B$  is linearly independent.  
(eg cols 1,3,4 of  $A$  are lin ind  $\Leftrightarrow$  cols 1,3,4 of  $B$  are lin ind)

Proof Let  $A^*$  be the submatrix of  $A$  made up of the columns in question, and let  $B^*$  be the submatrix of  $B$  made up of the corresponding columns of  $B$ .

eg if  $A = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} & a_{14} & a_{15} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & a_{23} & a_{24} & a_{25} \\ a_{31} & a_{32} & a_{33} & a_{34} & a_{35} \end{pmatrix}$  and if we are looking at columns 1,3,4,

then  $A^* = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{13} & a_{14} \\ a_{21} & a_{23} & a_{24} \\ a_{31} & a_{33} & a_{34} \end{pmatrix}$

The point is that whatever sequence of row operations takes  $A$  to  $B$  will also take  $A^*$  to  $B^*$ , and so  $A^* \sim B^*$ .

So now the columns in question (eg 1,3,4) of  $A$  are lin ind

$\Leftrightarrow$  the columns of  $A^*$  are lin ind

$$a_{11}x_1 + a_{12}x_2 = 0$$

$$a_{21}x_1 + a_{22}x_2 = 0$$

$\Leftrightarrow A^*x = 0$  has only the trivial solution

$\Leftrightarrow B^*x = 0$  has only the trivial solution

$\Leftrightarrow$  the columns of  $B^*$  are lin ind

$\Leftrightarrow$  the columns in question of  $B$  (e.g. 1,3,4) are lin ind  $\square$

How about the same example?

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} v_1 & v_2 & v_3 & v_4 & v_5 \\ 1 & 3 & -5 & 1 & 5 \\ 1 & 4 & -7 & 3 & 2 \\ 1 & 5 & -9 & 5 & -9 \\ 0 & 3 & -6 & 2 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{\text{REF}} \begin{pmatrix} u_1 & u_2 & u_3 & u_4 & u_5 \\ 1 & 3 & -5 & 1 & 5 \\ 0 & 1 & -2 & 2 & -7 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -5 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = B$$

So, since  $\text{colspace}(B) = \text{span}\{u_1, u_2, u_4\}$ ,  
then  $\text{colspace}(A) = \text{span}\{v_1, v_2, v_4\}$ .

Target Theorem A For any matrix  $A$ ,  $\dim \text{colspace}(A) = \dim \text{rowspace}(A)$

pf Let  $B \sim A$  so that  $B$  is in  $(R)$ REF

Then  $\dim \text{row space}(A) = \dim \text{row space}(B) = \#$  of non zero rows of  $B$   $\leftarrow \oplus$

AND  $\dim \text{col space}(A) = \dim \text{colspace}(B) = \#$  of pivot entries of  $B$   $\leftarrow \oplus$

Since  $B$  is in REF,  $\oplus$  are the same  $\square$

Definition We call this common dimension the rank of  $A$ .

In both of our examples, we saw that the following was true:

Rank-Nullity Theorem  $\dim \text{null space}(A) + \text{Rank of}(A) = \#$  of columns.

Idea of proof Reduce  $A$  to RREF, and call this  $B$

We already know that  $\text{rank } A = \text{rank } B = \#$  of pivot columns of  $B$ .

Also  $\dim \text{null space}(A) = \dim \text{null space}(B) = \#$  of non pivot columns

$= \#$  of parameters.  $\square$  sketch

Same example one more time:

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 3 & -5 & 1 & 5 \\ 1 & 4 & -7 & 3 & 2 \\ 1 & 5 & -9 & 5 & -9 \\ 0 & 3 & -6 & 2 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{\text{REF}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 3 & -5 & 1 & 5 \\ 0 & 1 & -2 & 2 & -7 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -5 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{matrix} \\ R_1 - 3R_2 \\ \\ \end{matrix}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & -5 & 26 \\ 0 & 1 & -2 & 2 & -7 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -5 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{matrix} R_1 + 5R_3 \\ R_2 - 2R_3 \\ \\ \end{matrix}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & -2 & 0 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -5 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{matrix} \\ \\ t_2 \\ t_1 \end{matrix}$$

General solution to  $Ax = 0$  is

$$(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5) = (-t_1 - t_2, 2t_2 - 3t_1, t_2, 5t_1, t_1)$$

$$= t_1(-1, -3, 0, 5, 1) + t_2(-1, 2, 1, 0, 0) + (1, 5, 6, -3, \pi)$$

Proposition Suppose that  $A$  is a matrix and that  $x_0$  is any solution to  $Ax = b$ . Suppose that  $\{v_1, \dots, v_k\}$  is a basis for the nullspace of  $A$ .

Then every solution to  $Ax = b$  is of the form

$$x = x_0 + c_1 v_1 + c_2 v_2 + \dots + c_k v_k$$

(where  $c_1, \dots, c_k \in \mathbb{R}$ )

Furthermore, all of these are solutions to  $Ax = b$ .

Proof Fix a solution to  $Ax = b$ , and call it  $x_0$ .

Then if  $x$  is any other solution to  $Ax = b$ , we have

$$A(x - x_0) = Ax - Ax_0 = b - b = 0$$

In other words  $x - x_0$  is in the nullspace of  $A$ . So

there are  $c_1, \dots, c_k \in \mathbb{R}$  so that

$$x - x_0 = c_1 v_1 + c_2 v_2 + \dots + c_k v_k$$

$$x = x_0 + c_1 v_1 + c_2 v_2 + \dots + c_k v_k$$

OTOM, we need to show that every

$x_0 + c_1 v_1 + c_2 v_2 + \dots + c_n v_n$  is a solution.

$$\begin{aligned} & A(x_0 + c_1 v_1 + c_2 v_2 + \dots + c_n v_n) \\ &= Ax_0 + A(c_1 v_1) + A(c_2 v_2) + \dots + A(c_n v_n) \\ &= Ax_0 + c_1 (Av_1) + c_2 (Av_2) + \dots + c_n (Av_n) \\ &= b + c_1 (0) + c_2 (0) + \dots + c_n (0) \\ &= b \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Theorem Let  $A$  be an  $n \times n$  Matrix. TFAE

(a)  $A^{-1}$  exists

(b)  $\det A \neq 0$

(c)  $A \sim I_n$

(d)  $Ax = 0$  has only the trivial solution

(e) For every  $b$ ,  $Ax = b$  has the unique solution  $x = A^{-1}b$

(f) The rows of  $A$  are linearly independent

(g) The columns of  $A$  are linearly independent

(h)  $A$  has rank  $n$

Rank-Nullity Theorem

Target Theorem 1

## Chapter 7 Eigenvalues & Eigenvectors

In chapters 7 & 8, we will consider square matrices as they act as functions from vectors to vectors.

For example  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 4 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$  is a function from  $\mathbb{R}^2$  to  $\mathbb{R}^2$  that takes

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 3 \\ 7 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} = (-1) \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\text{and} \quad \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 5 \\ 10 \end{pmatrix} = 5 \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}$$

Notice that these last two are equations of the form  $Av = \lambda v$  where  $\lambda$  is a scalar. It turns out that these vectors have special significance & many applications.

Defn Suppose  $A$  is an  $n \times n$  matrix,  $\lambda$  a scalar, and  $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$  a vector,  
(if  $v \neq 0$ ) and  $Av = \lambda v$

Then  $v$  is called an eigenvector of  $A$   
and  $\lambda$  is called an eigenvalue of  $A$ .

First, notice that in our example  $u_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$  and  $u_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}$  are lin ind,  
so they form a basis for  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . Thus, for any other vector  $u \in \mathbb{R}^2$ ,  
there are scalars  $c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{R}$  so that  $u = c_1 u_1 + c_2 u_2$   
so  $Av = A(c_1 u_1 + c_2 u_2) = c_1 A u_1 + c_2 A u_2$   
 $= -c_1 u_1 + 5c_2 u_2$   
So this is a "simplified" version of the function  $Av$

Next if  $v$  is an eigenvector of  $A$  (with  $\lambda$  the corresponding eigenvalue)  
and if  $c \neq 0$  is a scalar, then  $cv$  is also an eigenvector of  
 $A$  (with the same eigenvalue).

pf Suppose  $Av = \lambda v$ . Then  $A(cv) = c(Av) = c\lambda v = \lambda(cv)$   $\square$

In our example above:  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 4 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 6 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 15 \\ 30 \end{bmatrix} = 5 \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 6 \end{bmatrix}$

Now If  $A$  is a square matrix, how can we find its eigenvalues?

Suppose  $Av = \lambda v$  (idea: solve for  $\lambda$ )

$$\Rightarrow Av - \lambda v = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow Av - \lambda I v = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow (A - \lambda I)v = 0$$

Since  $v \neq 0$ , we want  $\det(A - \lambda I) = 0$

If not, then  $(A - \lambda I)^{-1}$  exists,

then  $v = (A - \lambda I)^{-1} 0 = 0$

which contradicts  $v \neq 0$

So our method is to solve this

(First,  $\det(A - \lambda I)$  is called the characteristic polynomial of  $A$ .  
Second  $\det(A - \lambda I)$  is a polynomial over  $\lambda$  !!)

Ex Let  $A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 4 & 3 \end{pmatrix}$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Then } A - \lambda I &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 4 & 3 \end{pmatrix} - \lambda \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 4 & 3 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} 1-\lambda & 2 \\ 4 & 3-\lambda \end{pmatrix}$$

Next  $\det(A - \lambda I) = 0$

$$\Rightarrow \det \begin{pmatrix} 1-\lambda & 2 \\ 4 & 3-\lambda \end{pmatrix} = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow (1-\lambda)(3-\lambda) - 2 \cdot 4 = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow 3 - 4\lambda + \lambda^2 - 8 = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \lambda^2 - 4\lambda - 5 = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow (\lambda - 5)(\lambda + 1) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \lambda = 5 \text{ or } \lambda = -1$$

HA!

Lemma  $\lambda$  is an eigenvalue of  $A \Leftrightarrow \det(A - \lambda I) = 0$

PF Next time



